REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated May 4, 2006. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration based on the above claim amendments and the following remarks. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims as presented are in condition for allowance.

The status of the claims is summarized as:

Claims 1-11, 13-33, 35-59, and 61-68 were previously pending.

Claims 1-4, 10, 14-15, 17, 19-20, 23-26, 49-51, 53-57, and 68 are currently amended.

Claims 5-9, 12-13, 16, 18, 21-22, 27-48, 52, and 58-67 are canceled.

Claims 1-4, 10-11, 14-15, 17, 19-20, 23-26, 49-51, 53-57, and 68 are pending in the application.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The Office rejects claims 1-11, 13-20, 27, 32-33, 35-38, 43, 47-48, 58-59, and 61-68 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Klevenz (US Publication 2003/0137540) in view of Nadav (NPL, Introducing DHTML Behaviors, Nov 18, 1998, pgs 1-11). However, claims 5-9, 13, 16, 18, 27, 32-33, 35-38, 43, 47-48, 58-59, and 61-67 are canceled. This leaves claims 1-4, 10-11, 14-15, 17, 19-20, and 68 remaining and rejected.

The Office rejects claims 21-26, 28-31, 39-42, 44-46, and 49-57 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Klevenz in view of Nadav and further in view of Cecco (US Patent No. 6,310,631). However, Claims 21-22, 28-31, 39-

20

42, 44-46, and 52 are canceled. This leaves claims 23-26, 49-51, and 53-57 remaining and rejected.

Klevenz teaches systems and techniques for managing a user interface, while the Nadav reference introduces dHTML behaviors and the Cecco reference teaches a user interface control for creating split panes in a single window.

Claim 1

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

Claim 1, as amended, defines a computer-executable method that includes:

- executing a pane element comprising an element behavior, wherein
 the pane element comprises a single element that is readable from a
 document by a browser, and wherein the executing includes
 invoking logic associated with the element behavior;
- parsing and initializing the logic to synchronously bind the logic to
 the pane element, wherein the logic specifies at least some attributes
 of one or more panes, and wherein the bound logic renders the pane
 element into a hypertext markup language (HTML) element to
 which the element behavior is irreversibly bound;
- executing the HTML element to generate the one or more panes having the specified attributes;
- wherein the bound logic manages display attributes of the one or more panes including a position, a size, and a shape for each pane;
- wherein the bound logic manages linkages between the one or more panes and one or more content resources, such that:
 - when only one pane exists then a changing content displayed in the pane is dynamically stored in the content resource in order to initialize subsequent additional panes with the same content;
 - when multiple panes exist the content that is common to the multiple panes is dynamically linked between the panes in order to propagate edits in the content simultaneously between the panes; and
 - when multiple panes each possess a link to a common content resource, then input from the common content resource and changes

į)

to the common content resource are simultaneously implemented in the multiple panes.

For an obviousness rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), the references combined to make the rejection must teach or suggest every element of the Applicant's claim. The combined references of Klevenz and Nadav do not teach or suggest each element of Applicant's amended claim 1. For example, the combination does not teach or suggest multiple capabilities combined into one single markup language element, that is to say: a) automatic management of the display attributes of one pane or multiple interrelated panes vis-à-vis changing content that is common between the panes; b) automatic management of changing displayed content common to multiple panes and linked between the panes and one or more content resources, such as a file, a keyboard input, etc., such that content changes are propagated throughout linked panes and content resources; c) wherein the two capabilities a) and b) just described are permanently bound to the single "pane element" markup language element and available through this single "command."

The method of claim 1—the "pane element"—saves novice web page programmers enormous amounts of time. With respect to the Klevenz reference, but perhaps especially with respect to the Nadav reference, dynamic HTML (DHTML) and web scripting have increased the capability of HTML and other languages that are popular for creating web pages to perform with more sophisticated interactivity. But this improvement still does not approach that of software application GUIs, especially with respect to web pages used with different types of browsers. A web page author contemplating either a sophisticated GUI or a customized window in a web page has limited choices: write additional web

pages to create entirely separate windows (serious programming commitment), or use "canned" web page code to make stock windows (not very customizable). Writing another web page, to be created as an entirely separate window, e.g., for an interactive dialogue, complicates accessing variables and/or content on the primary web page originating the new web page window and requires the web page developer to write event-trapping code to invoke the dialogue.

On the other hand, executing the pane element of Applicant's claim 1 frees the web page author from these tasks. It should be noted with regard to the obviousness rejection, that if combining references such as Klevenz and Nadav to obtain Applicant's claim 1 were obvious, why would web page authors at the time of Applicant's filing still be going to the great trouble of manually writing additional web pages to create each additional pane?

Since Klevenz and Nadav, either alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest each element of Applicant's claim 1, Applicant submits that the combination fails under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) and that claim 1 is allowable over the cited references.

Claims 2-4

For at least the reasons set forth above with respect to Claim 1, Applicant submits that dependent claims 2-4 are also allowable over the Klevenz and Nadav references. Dependent claims contain the language of the claims from which they depend. Claims 2-4 depend from claim 1, therefore Applicant submits that these claims are allowable.

Claim 10

3

5

6

7

я

Claim 10, as amended, defines a computer-executable method that includes:

- reading a pane element comprising an element behavior in a web page document for producing a web page;
- executing the pane element wherein the executing invokes logic synchronously bound with the pane element, wherein the bound logic specifies at least some attributes of one or more panes;
- wherein the executing generates the one or more panes having the specified attributes;
- wherein the bound logic manages display attributes of the one or more panes including a position, a size, and a shape for each pane;
- wherein the bound logic manages a linkage between the one or more
 panes and one or more content resources, such that changes to
 content that is common between one or more panes and the one or
 more content resources is simultaneously updated in each of the
 panes and in each of the content resources.

For reasons similar to those presented above for claim 1, the combined references of Klevenz and Nadav do not teach or suggest each element of Applicant's amended claim 10. Again, the combination does not teach or suggest the claimed multiple capabilities combined into one single markup language element, that is to say: a) automatic management of the display attributes of one pane or multiple interrelated panes vis-à-vis changing content that is common between the panes; b) automatic management of changing displayed content common to multiple panes and linked between the panes and one or more content resources, such as a file, a keyboard input, etc., such that content changes are propagated throughout

4

5

6

7

8

9

17 18

> 20 21 22

19

23 24 25 linked panes and content resources; c) wherein the two capabilities a) and b) just described are permanently bound to the single "pane element" markup language element and available through this single "command." Yet, for an obviousness rejection to succeed under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), the combined references must teach or suggest every element of the Applicant's claim.

Since Klevenz and Naday, either alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest each element of Applicant's claim 10, Applicant submits that the combination fails under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) and that claim 1 is allowable over the cited references.

Claims 11, 14-15, 17, 19-20

For at least the reasons set forth above with respect to Claim 10, Applicant submits that dependent claims 14-15, 17, 19-20 are also allowable over the Klevenz and Nadav references. Dependent claims contain the language of the claims from which they depend. Claims 14-15, 17, 19-20 depend from claim 10, therefore Applicant submits that these claims are allowable.

Claim 49

Claim 49, as amended, defines a computer-executable pane engine addressable via execution of a pane element for generating one or more interrelated panes in a web page, wherein the pane engine comprises a parsed and initiated element behavior bound to the pane element, including:

- a pane attribute assignor;
- a pane sizer;
- · a pane positioner;
- a multipane coordinator to manage interrelations between attributes of multiple panes being concurrently displayed on a user interface;
 - a dynamic content linker in the multipane coordinator to dynamically link a content that is common between multiple panes, wherein edits in the content of one pane are simultaneously propagated to the other panes; and
- a common resource linker for multiple panes that each
 possess a link to a common content resource, wherein the common
 resource linker sends input from the common content resource to the
 multiple panes.

Klevenz, Nadav, and Cecco are combined to make the obviousness rejection of claim 49. Cecco teaches an improved method for creating and sizing panes within a window of a computer system display screen as part of an interactive graphical user interface (Cecco, Abstract). The method in Cecco provides a user-controlled means to display a variable rectangle on a computer screen and to control where a new pane will appear by user-movement of the cursor to change the size and position of the variable form (Cecco, col. 3, lines 5-9). Thus, Cecco describes manual, interactive layout of panes, in which the user appears as part of the technique.

For an obviousness rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), the references combined to make the rejection must teach or suggest every element of the Applicant's claim. The combined references of Klevenz, Nadav, and Cecco do not

 teach or suggest each element of Applicant's amended claim 49. For example, the combination does not teach or suggest a multipane coordinator (see Applicant's Fig. 10) that has a dynamic content linker (see Fig. 10) to dynamically link a content that is common between multiple panes, wherein edits in the content of one pane are simultaneously propagated to the other panes; and a common resource linker (see Fig. 10) for multiple panes that each possess a link to a common content resource, wherein the common resource linker sends input from the common content resource to the multiple panes.

Applicant's pane engine is an element behavior, which when parsed and initiated, renders the "pane element" into a permanent, standalone HTML element. Thus, by incorporating a single pane element in a web page document, a web page author has at his disposal and subsequently unleashes all the capabilities of the multipane coordinator, the dynamic content linker, and the common resource linker, without having to write a new page for each window—i.e., without having to manually track and account for all the pane attribute variables that have to be juggled between pages when writing custom code for each pane—that is, when authoring panes without Applicant's pane element.

Since Klevenz, Nadav, and Cecco, either alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest each element of Applicant's claim 49, Applicant submits that the combination fails under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) and that claim 49 is allowable over the cited references.

Claims 50-51, 53-57, and 68

For at least the reasons set forth above with respect to Claim 49, Applicant submits that dependent claims 50-51, 53-57, and 68 are also allowable over the Klevenz, Nadav, and Cecco references. Dependent claims contain the language of the claims from which they depend. Claims 50-51, 53-57, and 68 depend from claim 49, therefore Applicant submits that these claims are allowable.

Claims 23-26

Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable in view of a combination of Klevenz, Nadav, and Cecco. Applicant submits above that amended base claim 10 is allowable. Because dependent claims contain the language of the claims from which they depend, Applicant respectfully submits that dependent claims 23-26 are also allowable.

Additionally, Klevenz, Nadav, and Cecco, either alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest each element of Applicant's claims 23-26 including their base claim 10. That is, Cecco does not provide teaching that is missing in Klevenz and Nadav, that would describe each element of Applicant's claims 23-26 including base claim 10. For these reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 23-26 are allowable.

Conclusion

All pending claims 1-4, 10-11, 14-15, 17, 19-20, 23-26, 49-51, 53-57, and 68 are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the subject application. If any issues remain that prevent

issuance of this application, the Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned attorney before issuing a subsequent Action.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: 8-4-06

The A

Mark Farrell Lee & Hayes, PLLC Reg. No. 45,988 Attorney for Applicant

LEE & HAYES PLLC Suite 500 421 W. Riverside Avenue Spokane, Washington 99201 Telephone: 509-324-9256 x243 Facsimile: (509) 323-8979